

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CHARLES BERMAN
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY
38<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-3024

COPY MAILED

MAY 2 1 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Edward M. De Robertis et al

Application No. 09/903,188

Filed: July 11, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 510015-258

: DECISION ON PETITIONS

: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND

: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

This is a decision on the petition filed, November 17, 2003, which is being treated under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional and provisional application set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

## The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in  $\S 1.17(t)$ ; and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition fails to comply with item (1) above.

37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) requires that any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending nonprovisional applications must contain or be amended to contain a reference to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) and indicating the relationship of the applications. The relationship between the applications is whether the subject application is a continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part of a prior-filed nonprovisional application. An example of a proper benefit claim is: "This application is a continuation of Application No. 10/---, filed----." A benefit claim that merely states: "This application claims the benefit of Application No. 10/---, filed----," does not comply with 37 CFR 1.72(a)(2)(i) since the proper relationship, which includes the type of continuing application, is not stated. Also, the status of each nonprovisional parent application (if it is patented or abandoned) should also be indicated, following the filing date of the parent nonprovisional application. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, 8th ed., (August 2001), Section 201.11, Reference to First Application. The amendment filed November 17, 2003 does not clearly state the relationship of prior-filed Application No. 08/878,474 and provisional Application No. 60/020,150, to the instant application.

Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) can be granted, a renewed petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) and a substitute amendment<sup>1</sup> stating the relationship of the prior-filed applications to the instant is required.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, Va 22313-1450

By FAX:

(703) 872-9306

Attn: Office of Petitions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note 37 CFR 1.121

By hand:

2011 South Clark Place

Crystal Plaza Two Lobby

Room 1B03

Arlington, VA 22202

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-8859.

. Karen Creasy

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

cc:

MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, 34<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067